	Case 2:06-cv-01466-RSL Docume	ent 29 Filed 11/26/07 Page 1 of 2		
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
8	JOSEPH COOPER,			
9	Plaintiff,			
10	v.	Case No. C06-1466RSL		
11	PREMERA BLUE CROSS, et al.,	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT MOTION <i>IN LIMINE</i>	ľ'S	
12	Defendants.			
13				
14	This matter comes before the Court or	n defendant's motion <i>in limine</i> to preclud	le	
15	plaintiff from providing proof that he applied the PIP benefits he received to health care			
10	averages (Dist #15) For the reasons the Court set forth during the Nevember 20, 2007.			
18	having in this case, the Court DENIES the motion. Furthermore, defendants have not			
19	shown that the exclusion is appropriate as a discovery sanction. Nor have they shown			
20	that the Plan administrator had discretion such that this matter should be limited to a			
21	review of the administrative record.			
22	As the Court explained during the November 20, 2007 hearing, plaintiff shall file a			
23	motion to file an amended complaint no later	than December 21, 2007. If he fails to o	ob	
24				
25				
26	ORDER DENYING MOTION IN LIMINE - 1			

1	so, the Court may dismiss the matter.
2	
3	DATED this 26th day of November, 2007.
4	
5	Mars Carlo
6	MMS Casuik Robert S. Lasnik
7	United States District Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	ORDER DENYING MOTION IN LIMINE - 2